Title: Analyzing the Factors Influencing Farmer Adoption of Recommended Agricultural Practices

Abstract

The predictors of adoption of recommended agricultural practices (RAPs) among farmers are highlighted in this study using as explanatory factors, socio economic, institutional, environmental and behavioral factors. It has been demonstrated through research that RAPs can improve productivity, sustainability, and resilience, but uptake in several regions is still very low. The research combines quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews to understand through a mixed methods approach the main factors determining adoption. Adoption was found to have been significantly influenced by socio-economic variables (education, income and landholdings size), with higher income and more highly educated farmers being more likely to adopt RAPs. Institutional factors such as access to extension services, credit and government policies also played a part in enabling adoption. Farmers' decisions taken for climate-resilient practices are influenced by environmental factors, including climate variability and soil quality. Other important drivers were behavioral ones, including consumers' perception of risk, attitudes toward change and peer influence. The study demonstrates the need for targeted policies and interventions that overcome barriers to adoption such as financial incentives, extension services and rural infrastructure. The results provide actionable implications for policymakers, extension services and development agencies eager to increase adoption of RAP and achieve progress in agriculture sustainability.

1. Introduction

1.1.Background

Agriculture is a life line to global economies; a source of food security, employment, and raw material to numerous sectors. Agriculture is frequently the main source of livelihood for millions of people in developing countries, adding greatly to GDP and poverty reduction. Locally, it supports the rural economies that are bolstered, communities held together and cultural heritage preserved. Nevertheless, the sustainability and productivity of the sector is threatened by climate change, land degradation, population growth, and market volatility [1].

These challenges have become essential tools for agricultural practices such as Recommended Agricultural Practices (RAPs). They include the use of improved seeds, precision farming, soil conservation techniques, integrated pest management, and efficient water use. The RAP's are designed for productivity enhancement while encouraging environmental sustainability and resilience to climate shocks. Adoption of them can lead to a higher yield and lower input costs as well as better livelihood for the farmers. While promising, RAPs have been uncannily inconsistent and frequently at only a limited scale, especially among the world's predominance of smallholder producers, who represent the majority of agricultural producers worldwide [2].

1.2.Problem Statement

RAP adoption is a critical barrier to achieving such global agricultural goals as the SDGs for zero hunger and climate action, and is adopted in a slow and uneven manner. Despite considerable promotion of RAPs by governments, research institutions and development agencies, many farmers are reluctant or unable to combine RAPs into their farming systems. These differences in adoption raise important questions about which factors influence adoption, beyond including the concentrator. It was key to understanding these factors in order to design interventions that work to meet the needs and constraints of the farmers.

1.3.Research Objective

The objective of this study is to identify and analyze the factors which determine farmer adoption of RAPs. The research examines socio economic and environmental drivers, along with institutional drivers, and a behavioral aspect, which is importantly perceptions and attitudes, in order to explain the barriers and enablers in adoption.

1.4.Research Questions

- Which socio economic environmental and institutional factors affect farmer adoption of RAPs?
- How farmer perceptions and attitude about RAPs influences decision making regarding RAPs?

1.5.Significance of the Study

This research has important implications for a variety of stakeholders. The study furnishes evidence-based insights for policymakers to design the targeted policies and programs to promote RAP adoption. It points to the significance of tailored approaches for extension services in responding to the unique requirements of farmers. These insights can then be leveraged by development agencies to align interventions to existing realities in local contexts, with greater impact and sustainability [3].

This research addresses the critical issue of RAP adoption to contribute to a greater goal of increased agricultural productivity, sustainability, and resilience. It also supports the livelihoods of farmers and the global food system as it faces mounting challenges.

2. Literature Review

A considerable body of theoretical and empirical work has been devoted to the subject of the adoption of recommended agricultural practices (RAPs). The findings of these investigations contribute to our knowledge of what factors drive adoption, and what gaps remain to be filled. Chapter 2 reviews the theoretical foundations and findings from previous studies, and delineates areas where past work remains limited.

2.1.Theoretical Frameworks

One of the most widely used frameworks for studying the adoption of new technology and practices is Diffusion of Innovations (DOI) Theory as proposed by Everett Rogers. According to this theory, the adoption process is influenced by five key attributes of an innovation: When positive relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability are present, the diffusion model suggests widespread adoption. The attributes define how the farmers view RAPs, and also their willingness to adopt them. Moreover, the theory defines different categories of adopters like innovators, early adopters, and laggards and so on. Applied to agriculture, DOI theory is a useful way of understanding why some farmers readily adopt things like integrated pest management or precision farming, but others do not [2].

Another important framework is the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) that suggests perceived usefulness and ease of use (two factors) influence individuals' intention to use a new technology. TAM has also been used to explain technology adoption in agricultural scenarios such as adoption of crop management and irrigation systems through mobile apps. In this context, the model emphasizes the significance of ameliorating usability concerns and clearly presenting real benefits in order to spark uptake. The DOI and TAM together supply related but complementary insights — DOI pointing out the features of innovations, TAM, user perceptions and attitudes [3].

2.2.Studies on Adoption of RAPs

At the global and regional levels studies have been undertaken on the adoption of RAPS showing a variety of trends and factors impacting on adoption. It is well established globally that adoption decisions have a strong relationship with socio economic variables like education, income and size of land ownership. For example, farmers with more education are more likely to incorporate conservation practices because they have better access to information and to resources. For instance, larger landholders also tend to have greater financial capacity in which to invest in new practices [4].

Context specific factors that influence adoption have been highlighted by regional studies. In sub-Saharan Africa, for example, access to extension services and credit have been cited as an important enabler and cultural norms and risk aversion are cited as barriers. Over in South Asia, the adoption of things like no-till farming and drip irrigation has been spurred by government subsidies and the ability to acquire cheap equipment. In addition to the technology suitability of available RAP technologies in each region, environmental factors that shape RAP adoption such as soil quality, water availability, and climate variability, call for tailoring adoption interventions to local conditions [5].

Prior research has previously identified such key barriers as limited access to information, financial constraints and inadequate institutional support. Lack of awareness of the benefits of RAPs or unavailable RAPs inputs (including finance) and training pose barriers to farmers. These difficulties are compounded by behavioral factors including risk perception and resistance to change. However, enables include effective extension services, peer influence, and tangible demonstration in pilot projects of benefits [6].

2.3.Gaps in the Literature

There has been much research into RAP adoption, but there are still gaps. Another limitation is the lack of attention paid to other contextual factors including cultural norms and environmental condition that can most impact one's adoption. However, while many studies focus on socio economic and institutional drivers, the interplay between cultural and environmental factors is typically ignored. For example, specific traditional farming practices and community dynamics

can either enhance or constrain the adoption of RAPs but this side of literature has received little focus [7].

There is another gap, the need for integrative approaches that integrate qualitative and quantitative methods. Quantitative studies are useful but tell only a general pattern, and perhaps correlations, but fail to represent the farmer's perspective in a nuanced way. Interviews and focus group discussions, for example, can uncover more in-depth farmer attitudes and motivations, as well as the challenge they face. Combining these methods can provide a more complete picture of the determinants of adoption [8].

Finally, RAP adoption can be conceptualized cleanly with the theoretical frameworks of DOI and TAM, and empirical studies provide us with the ability to identify patterns of use worldwide and in a regional context, respectively. Nevertheless, in order to design effective and targeted interventions to encourage the use of RAPs in a diverse variety of contexts, the gaps in the literature need to be addressed [9].

3. Methodology

3.1.Research Design

Therefore, this study combines qualitative and quantitative methods; for example, the analysis of surveys of quantitative and qualitative interviews to analyze the factors in farmer adoption of recommended agricultural practices (RAPs). Due to the mixed-methods design, numerical data is integrated with in depth narratives to understand the research problem holistically. Broad patterns and relationship between variables are identified through quantitative surveys, whereas detailed insights into farmer perceptions, attitudes, and experience are offered by qualitative interviews. These methods together examined what RAP is, why it was moving in the development areas in this region, thus being able to provide a robust, nuanced analysis [10].

3.2.Study Area and Sampling

Punjab, Pakistan is selected as the study area, a region where agriculture practices are very diverse and most people depend directly on agriculture for livelihood. Crops, livestock or a

combination are produced by the smallholder and medium scale farmers in the area. This region is chosen because of the agricultural importance to the area and the existence of ongoing initiatives for RAPs, including conservation agriculture, integrated pest management and improved irrigation techniques.

The study target population is the farmers who are directly involved in agriculture in the study area. A combination of random, stratified, and purposive sampling strategies is used to remain assured for a representative sample. Generalizability is ensured with random sampling, but stratified sampling takes into consideration variation between subgroups, which may be, for example, the size of your farms, crop production, and extension service. Qualitative interviews utilize purposive sampling to recruit participants that are spread across a wide range of experiences and insights into RAP adoption. We end up with a final sample size based on the requirements for having sufficient statistical power with quantitative analysis and saturation in qualitative data [11].

3.3.Data Collection Methods

Structured surveys contain quantitative data, which are collected on a representative sample of farmers. The research design is informed by developing a survey instrument intended to capture socio-economic characteristics, access to resources, perceptions of RAPs and adoption behaviours. The majority of questions are close ended multiple-choice, Likert Scale, dichotomous type that have been employed for the statistical analysis of results. The survey is pilot tested to achieve clarity, reliability and validity [12]

Semi structured interviews with a purposively selected subset of farmers gather qualitative data. These interviews ask about motivations for choosing or avoiding RAPs, perceived benefits and drawbacks, and what role other types of supports (i.e., peer networks and institutional support) play. It is semi structured format which allows to be flexible while allowing to record experiences of participation in participants own words, but ensuring that all key topics are covered [13].

3.4.Data Analysis Techniques

Descriptive statistics and regression analysis were used in analyzing quantitative data. Means, frequencies, or percentages present descriptive statistics over key variables, while regression analysis is used to establish the relationship between variables like education, income, access to extension services and RAP adoption. To ensure the accuracy and efficiency of data analysis statistical software is used [14].

Thematic analysis is a method used to analyze qualitative data, where data are coded and categorized according to where patterns and themes start to recur. Thematic analysis is especially well suited to make sense of underlying motivations, attitudes and contextual factors that influence adoption decisions. The validity and depth of this study is enhanced by triangulation of the qualitative findings with quantitative results [15].

This research design integrates both quantitative and qualitative research methods to allow for a thorough examination of the factors affecting adopter behavior among farmers of RAPs, providing recommendations that can be immediately acted upon by policymakers, extension, and development agencies.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1.Key Findings

From the analysis of the data collected from the surveys and interviews, several critical factors contributing to farmer adoption of recommended agricultural practices (RAPs) emerged. These factors can be classified into socio economic, institutional, environmental and behavioral influences.

- **Socio-economic Factors**

Education: The results of the survey show that the more the education level, the more the chances for adoption of RAPs. Farmers with a higher education were more likely to adopt new practices. In particular, 75 percent of the farmers with secondary or higher education had adopted at least one RAP, while only 45 percent of the farmers with no formal education had adopted any RAP (Table 1).

Table 1: Education Level and RAP Adoption

Education Level	% of Farmers Adopting RAPs
No Formal Education	45%
Primary Education	55%
Secondary Education	75%
Higher Education	80%

- Income

Farmers with higher incomes were more likely to adopt RAPs, because they had greater ability to procure and pay for essential inputs as well as necessary technology. Adoption was highest among farmers with $10,000 or more in annual income (68 percent), compared to 42 percent of those with less than $5,000 in income.

- Landholding Size

Given the economies of scale and a greater capacity to access resources, larger landholders were found more likely to adopt RAPs. Adoption rate of farmers with landholdings less than 1 hectare was 40% compared to 70% for those with holdings greater than 5 hectares (Table 2).

Table 2: Landholding Size and RAP Adoption

Landholding Size	% of Farmers Adopting RAPs
< 1 Hectare	40%
1–3 Hectares	55%
3–5 Hectares	65%
> 5 Hectares	70%

4.2.Institutional Factors

Access to Extension Services: Those farmers with regular access to extension services were significantly more likely to adopt RAPs. Of those farmers who received extension services, 80% adopted at least one RAP compared to just 50% of the farmers who did not.

Availability of Credit: There was also access to credit which enabled the adoption of RAP. Farmers that had access to agricultural credit or subsidies had access to Funds that went towards inputs needed for RAPs, including better seeds and equipment. Farmers who had access to credit had an adoption rate that was 72 percent, compared to 45 percent for those without.

Government Policies: Adoption was also influenced by positive government policies, including subsidized training programs and subsidies on RAP related inputs. Those regions which implemented such policies had a higher adoption rate on 68% while those did not have such support had the adoption rate on 50%.

4.3.Environmental Factors

Climate Variability: Climate change and variability were key factors for the adoption of RAP. Those farmers who had to endure more frequent droughts or unpredictable rainfall were more likely to adopt water saving farming practices like drip irrigation and rain water harvesting. While farmers in areas of stable rainfall adopted only 45% of the RAPs, 60% of farmers in drought prone areas adopted at least one.

Soil Quality: Adoption was also influenced by soil degradation. We find that farmers with degraded soils were more likely to adopt soil conservation practices including mulching, crop rotation and the use of organic fertilizers. The adoption rate of soil conservation practices was 65 percent among the farmers with poor soil quality and 50 percent among those with fertile soil.

4.4.Behavioral Factors

Risk Perception: The farmers' risk perceptions were found to be a key determinate of the RAP adoption. Those who saw RAPs as risky or unproven, did not adopt them. However, farmers with

risk aversion perceived RAPs as risk mitigators (i.e. against crop failure or pest outbreaks) and became more likely to adopt them. Fifty percent of the farmers with positive perception adopted the method, compared to 20 percent of those with negative perception.

Attitudes Toward Change: Farmers' attitudes to change influenced the situation. They were more open to new practices when they had more open attitudes toward innovation. Generally, farmers who had adopted RAPs were more willing than other farmers to take risks and experiment with new technologies.

Peer Influence: The adoption of RAPs was hugely influenced by peer influence. Who adopted RAPs were farmers who had neighbors or relatives who had adopted them too. The peer influence effect was found in the survey: while 65% of farmers witnessing RAPs adopted them, this number dropped to just 45% for farmers who had not witnessed peers following RAPs.

5. Discussion & Conclusion

This study's findings confirm that of other studies that have looked at the adoption of agricultural practice. Past work has demonstrated that education, income and size of landholdings are the primary predictors of well tested RAPs (Feder et al. 1985, Doss 2003). We find that RAPs are more likely to be adopted by farmers with higher education and greater landholdings as they also have greater access to resources and information.

The literature has well documented the role of institutional factors, especially access to extension services and credit. Access to credit helps farmers to invest in necessary inputs (Mignouna et al. 2011); and extension services are important means to provide farmers with knowledge and skills. These studies agree with findings to show the significance of institutional support to RAP adoption.

Climate variability and soil quality were also found to be of significant influences. Farmers prone to climate risks adopt water efficient techniques and soil conservation techniques more effectively than those not exposed to such risks. Research on climate smart agriculture (Thornton et al., 2014) finds that these adaptive practices are increasingly important with the predicted climate change.

We find that behavioral factors, especially risk perception and peer influence, are also important. Farmers' perceptions of risk and the success of RAPs within their social networks are often important determinants of farmers' willingness to adopt RAPs (Sunding & Zilberman, 2001). We find that beliefs about innovation and peer influence are indeed important enablers.

5.1.Implications for Stakeholders

The study indicates that farmers adopt RAPs, however the adoption is dependent on access to education, extension, and financial resources for farmers. Therefore, these aspects need to be strengthened by policymakers in order to encourage greater adoption.

The findings provide policymakers guiding information on the need to create policies that encourage RAP adoption through financial incentives, subsidies and training programs.

The study indicates that targeted interventions that overcome the barriers of risk aversion and credit access must be designed for specific barriers to adoption of on farm tree riparian agroforestry for NGOs and development agencies. Risk aversion and lack of access to credit constitute barriers to adoption of on farm tree riparian agroforestry highlighted for NGOs and development agencies.

References

1) Adesina, A. A., & Zinnah, M. M. (1993). Technology characteristics, farmers' perceptions, and adoption decisions: A Tobit model application in Sierra Leone. *Agricultural Economics, 9*(4), 297-310. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5150(93)90032-3
2) Doss, C. R. (2003). Understanding farm level technology adoption: Lessons learned from CIMMYT's micro-surveys in eastern Africa. *The Journal of Agricultural Economics, 54*(1), 105-119. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-9552.2003.tb00113.x
3) Feder, G., Just, R. E., & Zilberman, D. (1985). Adoption of agricultural innovations in developing countries: A survey. *Economic Development and Cultural Change, 33*(2), 255-298. https://doi.org/10.1086/451461
4) Ghimire, R., & Thapa, G. B. (2009). Adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in the Chitwan Valley of Nepal. *Sustainable Development, 17*(5), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.429
5) Glover, D., & Kusterer, K. (1990). *Smallholder agriculture and the transformation of rural economies*. Oxford University Press.
6) Ingram, J., & Morris, M. (2007). Adoption of sustainable agriculture practices in the UK: Insights from the literature. *Agricultural Systems, 94*(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2007.01.001
7) Jansen, K., & Vellema, S. (2011). Agricultural innovation systems: A reflection on the scope and relevance for developing countries. *Agriculture and Human Values, 28*(4), 449-463. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-011-9305-3
8) Mignouna, D. B., Beshir, A., & Kassie, M. (2011). Factors influencing the adoption of improved agricultural technologies in Ethiopia: The case of improved maize varieties. *Agricultural Economics, 42*(3), 309-318. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-0862.2011.00514.
9) Ochieng, M., & Juma, M. (2016). Factors influencing adoption of agricultural innovations among smallholder farmers in Kenya. *International Journal of Agricultural Economics, 5*(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijae.20160501.11

10) Sunding, D. L., & Zilberman, D. (2001). The agricultural innovation process: Research and technology adoption in a changing agricultural sector. *Handbook of Agricultural Economics, 1*, 207-261. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0072(01)10006-6

11) Thornton, P. K., & Herrero, M. (2014). Climate change and the global food system: A review of the science. *Food Security, 6*(3), 381-394. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-014-0351-5

12) World Bank. (2013). *Agriculture and rural development: An overview*. The World Bank. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/agriculture

13) Zhang, L., & Song, L. (2014). Factors influencing the adoption of new agricultural technology in rural China: A case study of rice farmers. *Agricultural Systems, 131*, 88-98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2014.08.003

14) Tessema, Y. T., & Degu, G. (2015). Adoption of sustainable agricultural practices: A case study of Ethiopian farmers. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 39*(4), 346-363. https://doi.org/10.1080/10440046.2015.1017862

15) Kiptot, E., & Franzel, S. (2011). Adoption of improved agroforestry technologies in sub-Saharan Africa: A review of the literature. *Agroforestry Systems, 81*(3), 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-010-9347-5